

From: [Manuel Arce](#)
To: [Le, Teenchee \(DPW\)](#); [Froehlich, David \(DPW\)](#)
Cc: [Mariano Hernandez](#)
Subject: RE: Proposal Review Comments for J38-01 Cesar Chavez Bike Path Project
Date: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 6:14:18 PM
Attachments: [Contractor Price Proposal Summaries - Category \(4\).pdf](#)
[Contractor Price Proposal Details - Category \(6\).pdf](#)

Good Afternoon Teenchee and David,

Please note I have just exported the revised proposal 3/23/18 for the Cesar Chavez Bike Path in Gordian. Please see my additional notes below (within your email) addressing your comments. Please let us know if you would like to meet and discuss to finalize or have any additional questions.

Note:

We have also added ***** Category for new items added since last proposal.

- A) NPP for landscape work. Other previous line items have been changed to \$0.
- B) Temporary chain link fence for rock hill (worker protection during demolition)
- C) \$10,000 allowance for adjusting, protecting, and relocating utility boxes and highway sign post. I could not locate any task catalog item.

Thank you

Manuel Arce

From: Le, Teenchee (DPW) [mailto:TeenChee.Le@sfdpw.org]
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2018 4:21 PM
To: Manuel Arce <manuel@momocollab.com>; Mariano Hernandez <mariano@hernandez-engineering.com>
Cc: Froehlich, David (DPW) <David.Froehlich@sfdpw.org>
Subject: Proposal Review Comments for J38-01 Cesar Chavez Bike Path Project

Hi Manny,

Here are our comments to the proposal:

- 1) Line Item #01: Additional traffic control person for 40 days seem excessive, especially since line item #2 covers the traffic control work. Truck and arrowboard will only be used during work at on-ramp and most of the work is outside of vehicular travel lanes.
The additional flag person under Line Item # 01 is necessary due to the constant vehicular traffic in and out of the project site for trucks including clearing/grubbing, demo, importing of soil, and multiple concrete pours and pump. The traffic control equipment will mainly control the vehicular flow along street of major importance but the (2) flaggers will be needed to direct in and out traffic at jobsite adjacent to 101 S on ramp while maintaining pedestrian control.

- 2) Line Item #5: See “owner notes” on attached review proposal.
Item corrected.
- 3) Line Item #7: Use \$1,000 for allowance instead.
Item adjusted to \$1,000.
- 4) Line Item #11: See “owner notes” on attached review proposal.
Item adjusted to Quantity 1. There is only (1) Bobcat.
- 5) Line Item #11: See “owner notes” on attached review proposal.
Item # 12. Item adjusted to Quantity 2. There is (1) Backhoe and (1) Excavator.
- 6) Line Item #34 : See “owner notes” on attached review proposal.
See calculation notes on revised proposal.
- 7) Line Item #56: Tree protection cost already included in line item #10. For boulders, if no line item in Construction Task Catalog, provide supplier quote and we’ll do an NPP (non-pre priced item)
Tree protection cost included in item # 10 is for temporary tree protection during construction per G-1.1. The cost included in item # 56 is for boulders, staking including metal mesh permanent protection per L-3.1. This line item has be changed to \$0. Please see *****NPP. Landscape quote has been received.
- 8) Line Item #59: Hydroseed spec provided in previously sent email. See “owner notes” on attached review proposal.
This line item has been changed to \$0. Please see ***** NPP.
- 9) Line Item #67: Delete. Line item #69 already covers this work already.
Item deleted.
- 10) Line Item #68: Delete, City testing lab will perform compaction testing.
Item deleted.

Please revise and resubmit cost proposal through eGoridan in 2 business days or less.

Thanks,



Teenchee Le, P.E.
Job Order Contracting (JOC)